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1 Introduction

In randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of prophylactic medical interventions, outcomes may either be the disease itself (eg stroke) or some substitute measure thought to be associated with the disease (eg high cholesterol). Such intermediate measures are known as surrogate end points1. Here is a definition of a surrogate end point:

A laboratory measurement or a physical sign used as a substitute for a clinically meaningful end point that measures directly how a patient feels, functions or survives [17] quoted in [18].

2 Benefits of surrogate end points

Clinically meaningful end points (“hard outcomes”) are often very time consuming and expensive to study. In a stroke prevention RCT, for example, researchers may have to recruit 10,000 participants and wait 5 years for the results. In comparison, surrogate end points are much faster and easier to study for an RCT on a cholesterol lowering drug it may suffice with a few hundred patients for a few months. Surrogate end points are therefore much cheaper than hard outcomes.

3 Problems with surrogate end points

On the other hand, surrogate end points may be misleading when they do not translate into clinically meaningful outcomes, or when the concept is opposite to what was expected. The latter was the case with type-1 antidiabetic drugs in patients who had heart rhythm disturbances after myocardial infarction. Among these patients, it had been shown that the antidiabetic drugs enacainide and Brinamide decreased electrocardiographic (ECG) intervals of arrythmia (the surrogate end point), which was one of the reasons for their use. When tested in an RCT, however, patients who took enacainide and flecainide turned out to be more than two times more likely to die from cardiac arrest or other causes than those randomised to placebo [1]. In effect, the drugs improved ECG looks but killed people.

1 Use in clinical practice

Because of this and many other examples of failed surrogate end points, it is not advisable to start therapy based on such results only. This is, nevertheless, often done in clinical practice [19]. Part of the problem is that the surrogate end point is not seldom confused with the real effect, rather than being more properly identified as a risk factor for it. This is further complicated by the fact that such mixing of the concepts is at times warranted – some surrogate end points may indeed be both part of the disease and a risk factor for it. Blood glucose, for example, is a surrogate end point as regards late complications of diabetes (eg myocardial infarctions), but at the same time high blood glucose in itself may cause a number of symptoms such as tiredness and increased thirst.

3.2 Use in advertisements

Where hard outcome data is not available, pharmaceutical companies often use surrogate end points in their marketing. An example is Figure 1, where an improvement in the ECG looks but killed people.
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Table 1: The table